Ø 002/005

# BEFORE THE STATE ENGINEER, STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES, DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

\*\*\*

IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATIONS
NOS., 54003 through 54021, FILED BY
THE LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER
DISTRICT TO APPROPRIATE THE
UNDERGROUND WATERS IN SPRING
VALLEY (184) HYDROGRAPHIC BASIN,
LINCOLN AND WHITE PINE COUNTIES,
NEVADA

STIPLUATION REGARDING
SNWA'S MOTION TO DISMISS
AND MOTION TO EXCLUDE
FILED IN STATE ENGINEER
MATTER CONCERNING
SPRING VALLEY, NEVADA
WATER RIGHT
APPLICATIONS

COMES NOW, the Southern Nevada Water Authority (SNWA), by and through its attorneys, the law firm of KING & TAGGART, LTD. and McQUAID BEDFORD & VAN ZANDT, LLP, and the U.S. National Park Service (NPS), by and through their counsel, PETER FAHMY, for the DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR, SOLICITOR's OFFICE, to enter this stipulation to certain contested procedural and evidentiary matters.

WHEREAS, the State Engineer has scheduled a hearing to consider the SNWA water right applications in Spring Valley, Nevada,

WHEREAS, on July 7, 2006, SNWA filed a Motion to Dismiss Individual Protest Claims Regarding Applications and Memorandum in Support ("Motion to Dismiss"),

WHEREAS on July 7, 2006, SNWA filed a Motion to Exclude Evidence Related to Protests Against Applications in Spring Valley ("Motion to Exclude"),

WHEREAS, said motions seek to have certain protest issues that were raised by the NPS dismissed, and to have certain evidence excluded that has been offered by the NPS for use in the Spring Valley hearing, and

5tate 'S EXHIBIT 47

DATE: 9-11-06

8:42 ral 1/2 883 9900 king & Taggart, Ltd.

WHEREAS, SNWA and NPS desire to enter this stipulation to have certain protest issues dismissed, to agree that certain witnesses will not be offered as experts or offer expert opinions, and agree that SNWA will not construe, nor should the State Engineer construe the failure of NPS to oppose the remaining claims in the motions as an admission by NPS, and that any argument over the validity of the remaining arguments in the motions regarding NPS will be deferred to the hearing.

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties agree as follows:

- Withdrawal of Certain Protest Issues: SNWA alleges in its Motion to Dismiss
  that certain protest issues that were raised by the NPS in its protest against the
  subject applications should be dismissed. The NPS agrees that the following
  protest issues are withdrawn.
  - a. NPS Protest Issue X That it is unclear whether the quantity of water contemplated by the applications is necessary and is an amount reasonable required for municipal and domestic purposes. Past open and notorious practices would indicate otherwise.
  - b. NPS Protest Issue XI, sec. K That the applications do not clearly indicate the place of use, the description of proposed works, estimated cost of works, number and type of units to be served or annual consumptive use. Nor is it clear that the appropriation sought is necessary and is in an amount reasonably required for the beneficial use to be served.
  - c. NPS Protest Issue XIII That NPS reserves the right to amend its protest as more information becomes available.
- Persons Not Offcred as Experts, or to Offcr Expert Opinions: SNWA alleges in
  its Motion to Exclude that certain witnesses that are offcred by the NPS, or which
  have prepared reports, are not qualified as experts or did not properly prepare

///

///

///

expert reports. The NPS agrees as to the following persons, that they will not be offered as experts, their reports will not be offered as expert reports, and they will not offer expert opinions.

- a. Tod Williams, Chief of Resource Management, Great Basin National Park.
- b. Eric Lord, Water Rights Specialist, National Park Scrvice.
- 3. Remaining Challenges to NPS Protest Issues. Witnesses, or Evidence: The Parties agree that any remaining arguments made by SNWA in the Motion to Dismiss or Motion to Exclude are hereby preserved by SNWA and NPS to be made at the hearing that is scheduled by the State Engineer. Accordingly, the NPS need not oppose those motions, as is currently required by the Intermediate Order on July 21, 2006, and the SNWA waives and will not assert that the failure by NPS to file an opposition by such date should be construed by the State Engineer, or any other judicial or administrative officer, as an admission or

LA 005/005

concession to the validity of the arguments made by the SNWA in the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Exclude.

day of July, 2006.

KING & TAGGART, LTD. 108 North Minnesota Street Carson City, Nevada 89703 (775)882-9900 - Telcphone (775)883-9900 - Facsimile

(415)905-0202 - Facsimile

DATED this 19th day of July, 2006.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR Office of the Solicitor 755 Parfet Street, Suite 151 Lakewood, Colorado 80215 (303)231-5353 - Telephone (303)231-5363 - Facsimile

McQUAID BEDFORD & VAN ZANDT, LLP 221 Main Street, 16th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 (415)905-0200 - Telephone

Nevada State Bar No. 6136

MICHAEL J. VAN ZANDT, ESQ.

Nevada State Bar No. 7199

Attorneys for SNWA

PETER FAHMY Attorney for NPS

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of KING & TAGGART, LTD., and that on this date I served, or caused to be served, a true and correct copy of the STIPULATION REGARDING SNWA"S MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION TO EXCLUDE FILED IN STATE ENGINEER MATTER CONCERNING SPRING VALLEY, NEVADA WATER RIGHT APPLICATIONS, address as follows:

THE THE TENTE THE PROPERTY OF	
[_X_]	By U.S. POSTAL SERVICE: I deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, with postage prepaid, an envelope containing the above-identified document, at Carson City, Nevada, in the ordinary course of business, addressed to:
	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
[_X_]	By <b>FACSIMILE</b> : I transmitted via facsimile from the law offices of KING & TAGGART, a true and correct copy of the above-identified document, in the ordinary course of business, to the individual and facsimile number listed below:
	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
[X]	By <b>E-MAIL</b> :
	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
[_X_]	By HAND DELIVERY, via:
	Reno-Carson Messenger Service   X   interoffice-type messenger   other type of delivery service:
	by placing a true and correct copy of the above-identified document in an envelope containing the above-identified document, in the ordinary course of business, addressed to:
	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
DATI	ED this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of July, 2006.

Employee of KING & TAGGART, LTD.

#### **SERVICE LIST**

#### **By U.S. POSTAL SERVICE:**

Panaca Irrigation Company c/o Keith Pearson P.O. Box 764 Panaca, Nevada 89042

Moriah Ranches, Inc. c/o David Eldridge P.O. Box 46 Baker, Nevada 89311 Dr. Dan A. Love c/o L. David Love P.O. Box 187 Caliente, Nevada 89008

# **By FACSIMILE:**

George N. Benesch, Esq. Law Offices of George N. Benesch (775)827-3020

Peter Fahmy U.S. Department of Interior Office of the Solicitor (303)231-5363 Stephen Palmer U.S. Department of Interior Office of the Solicitor (916)978-5694

# By E-MAIL:

Simeon Herskovits Western Environmental Law Center herskovits@westernlaw.org taos@westernlaw.org

Matt Kenna Western Environmental Law Center mattkenna@gmail.com Durango@westernlaw.org

### **By HAND DELIVERY:**

Tracy Taylor, P.E., State Engineer
Department of Conservation and Natural Resources
901 South Stewart Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Suite 2200
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Nicole Rinke Western Mining Action Project nevadamining@sbcglobal.net